



Towards multi-modal, multi-species brain atlases: part one

Rogier B. Mars^{1,2} · Nicola Palomero-Gallagher^{3,4}

© The Author(s) 2023

Neuroanatomical knowledge is a fundamental component of neuroimaging analyses, since it enables researchers to interpret their findings in the context of the underlying cytoarchitectonic, molecular and connectional segregation of the brain. The digital era of neuroscience and current open science practices have resulted in the generation and availability of numerous datasets reflecting different aspects of the brain's structural and functional segregation. This pertains not only the human brain, or that of non-human primates or rodents (Grandjean et al. 2023; Milham et al. 2018), the most commonly used animal models in neuroscience, but also the brains of other animal models, such as the mini pig (Bjarkam et al. 2017) and squirrel monkey (Orset et al. 2023), and even wider ranges of species across the mammalian class (Tendler et al. 2022; Suarez et al. 2022).

These developments, together with the increased awareness for the relevance of interpreting functional data in the framework of the brain's structural segregation, have resulted in a plethora of new maps which have been acquired using very different methods, and which depict different levels of brain organization such as gene expression in cortical layers to receptor distributions, connections, morphology, and computation and function. These organization levels do not necessarily all show the same degree of granularity, and this

is reflected in variations in the number and extent of areas depicted in each of these maps, not only when comparing brains from different species, but even within a given species. Elucidating the principles governing integration across hierarchical levels in order to understand how the different maps relate to one another, i.e. the “vertical translation”, is crucial to understand how structure subserves function, and constitutes one of the most exciting developments in neuroanatomy in the last decades (Mars et al. 2021).

Understanding how maps of different species relate to each other, i.e. the “horizontal translation”, is an equally challenging and fascinating endeavour and recently developed tools for relating maps between species will enable neuroscientists to address issues of homology and to equalize terminology across traditionally separated subfields of neuroscience (Mars et al. 2021). This horizontal translation is not only important from a comparative point of view. Since not all types of data can be obtained from any random species, a formal mapping between species is necessary to predict how unobtainable data could be present in any species of choice (Folloni et al. 2019; Tang et al. 2019). More importantly, this approach is crucial to facilitate translational neuroscience and bridge the gap between basic and clinical neuroscience, because grey matter structures with different phylogenetic origins are differentially susceptible to disease (Liu et al. 2021; van den Heuvel et al. 2019).

In this context, we decided to organize a special issue of *Brain Structure and Function* to bring together scientists aiming to increase our understanding of the relationship between the structural and functional segregation of the brain, although with different methods and in different species. This has resulted in a series of articles to be published in two volumes, i.e., “Towards multi-modal, multi-species brain atlases” parts one and two. The following sections provide a summary of the contents of part one.

The primate thalamus is the topic of a review by García-Cabezas et al. (2023), as well as of an original and a methodological article by He et al. (2022) and Pérez-Santos et al. (2023), respectively. The thalamus is a large subcortical diencephalic complex encompassing multiple structurally

✉ Rogier B. Mars
rogier.mars@ndcn.ox.ac.uk

✉ Nicola Palomero-Gallagher
n.palomero-gallagher@fz-juelich.de

¹ Wellcome Centre for Integrative Neuroimaging, Centre for fMRI of the Brain (FMRIB), Nuffield Department of Clinical Neurosciences, John Radcliffe Hospital, University of Oxford, Oxford OX3 9DU, UK

² Donders Institute for Brain, Cognition and Behaviour, Radboud University Nijmegen, AJ 6525 Nijmegen, The Netherlands

³ Institute of Neuroscience and Medicine (INM-1), Research Centre Jülich, 52425 Jülich, Germany

⁴ C. & O. Vogt Institute for Brain Research, Heinrich-Heine-University, 40225 Dusseldorf, Germany

and functionally distinct nuclei which are organized into groups based on their developmental origin, structure, connectivity and the type of information they receive or transmit. García-Cabezas et al. (2023) provide a comprehensive overview of the historical developments leading to the two currently prevailing nomenclature and, more importantly, parcellation concepts. Importantly, they also critically discuss the advantages and disadvantages of each of these prevailing parcellation schemes, as well as the fact that they are each used by a different community of neuroscientists, namely those primarily involved in neurosurgical applications, and those using them for basic research purposes. In a complementary article, Pérez-Santos et al. (2023) propose a framework for future mapping studies of the primate thalamus which will ensure the production of methodologically reproducible data and apply a common terminology, thus facilitating comparability of results obtained at different institutions and future collaborative efforts. This framework is of enormous value given the expertise of the group led by Carmen Cavada, which over the last decades has published numerous detailed and highly cited studies on the distribution of subcortical neuromodulatory afferents to the thalamus (Cavada et al. 1995; García-Cabezas et al. 2007; Pérez-Santos et al. 2021; Rico and Cavada 1998). He et al. (2022) also pave the way for standardization of future studies through use of their Multi-modal-fused magnetic Susceptibility (MuSus-100) atlas nuclei in the human thalamus and basal ganglia. Their population based atlas is constructed from high resolution quantitative susceptibility mapping images, because they provide a higher contrast than that of T1-weighted or T2-weighted magnetic resonance images due to their higher sensitivity to iron and myelin (Bilgic et al. 2012; Langkammer et al. 2010) and to the fact that subcortical nuclei differ from each other and from their surroundings in their iron content.

Ikeda et al. (2022) and Rogers Flattery et al. (2023) apply largely diverging approaches to analyse the brains of the night monkey and the silver fox, respectively. Although these are not two of the most frequently used animal models, they provide the community with valuable insights into structure–function relationships in the brain. Rogers Flattery et al. (2023) present a beautiful dataset composed of annotated high resolution images of coronal thionin stained coronal sections through an entire *Vulpes vulpes* hemisphere accompanied by a mirror-reflected MRI volume re-sliced to match the plane of sectioning as closely as possible and which will serve as the neuroanatomical reference for the analysis of brain-behaviour relationships. This work also serves to demonstrate to the community what can be done with rare samples and how open data makes sure such resources can be of benefit to the wider scientific community. In contrast to this *post mortem* approach, Ikeda et al. (2022) applied high-resolution

in vivo brain magnetic resonance imaging and comparative cortical surface T1w/T2w myeloarchitectonic mapping in the framework of the harmonized methodology provided by the non-human primate version of the Human Connectome Project pipeline to characterize the cortical organization of the night monkey and compare it to that of macaque and marmoset monkeys.

The availability of these new datasets and techniques enables researchers to look at patterns of anatomical organization that can form the basis of a phylogenetic understanding of variation across brains. One such overarching theory is Sanides' (1970, 1962) Hypothesis on the Dual Origin of the Neocortex. Although not without its critics (Murray et al. 2017), this work has been very influential in guiding a number of cross-level studies of brain organization (Huntenburg et al. 2018) and increasingly is used to make comparisons across species. García-Cabezas et al. (2022) use differences in the shape of small and large cupcakes to visually demonstrate how their elaboration of Sanides' (1962, 1970) Hypothesis on the Dual Origin of the Neocortex can explain the fact that the spatial proximity relationships between cortical types observed in the brains of rodentia are preserved in the brains of primates despite the neocortical expansion which has taken place in the latter order. Taking the comparative perspective to the extreme, this article is also a must-read for those interested in cupcakes.

Ultimately, much of the anatomical work on brain organization aims to help us understand its function. Passingham and Lau (2022) highlight that brain function can only be fully understood if results of functional imaging studies are analysed in the framework of the underlying structure. Each area is characterized by a distinct cellular and molecular composition, as well as a specific connectivity pattern, which conditions the kind(s) of input it receives, how this information is processed, and the areas to which this integrated/transformed information is forwarded.

We would like to conclude this editorial quoting Passingham and Lau (2022): "... the aim of neuroscience is not to simply label the brain, but to understand *how* it works." We have tried with this special issue to provide novel insights into the relationship between the structural and functional segregation of the brain as well as highlight the new type of data and methods for between-level and between-species integration that are continuing to appear at a rapid pace. Finally, we believe that the original papers to appear in "Towards multi-modal, multi-species brain atlases: part two", which is almost ready, are just as exciting as the ones published in this part of the Special Issue, and they will be of equal importance to further our understanding of the relevance of horizontal and vertical translation approaches in neuroscience.

Acknowledgements We would like to thank all authors for their contribution to this special issue. RBM received support from the EPA Cephalosporin Fund and the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC) UK [BB/X013227/1]. The Wellcome Centre for Integrative Neuroimaging is supported by core funding from the Wellcome Trust [201319/Z/16/Z]. NPG received support from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme (Human Brain Project, Grant number 945539) and the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF, project number 01GQ1902).

Funding Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

Data availability Data availability is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

- Bilgic B, Pfefferbaum A, Rohlfing T, Sullivan EV, Adalsteinsson E (2012) MRI estimates of brain iron concentration in normal aging using quantitative susceptibility mapping. *Neuroimage* 59:2625–2635. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.08.077>
- Bjarkam CR, Glud AN, Orlowski D, Sørensen JCH, Palomero-Gallagher N (2017) The telencephalon of the Göttingen minipig, cytoarchitecture and cortical surface anatomy. *Brain Struct Funct* 222:2093–2114. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-016-1327-5>
- Cavada C, Compañy T, Hernández-González A, Reinoso-Suárez F (1995) Acetylcholinesterase histochemistry in the macaque thalamus reveals territories selectively connected to frontal, parietal and temporal association cortices. *J Chem Neuroanat* 8:245–257. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0891-0618\(95\)00050-H](https://doi.org/10.1016/0891-0618(95)00050-H)
- Folloni D, Verhagen L, Mars RB, Fouragnan E, Constans C, Aubry J-F, Rushworth MFS, Sallet J (2019) Manipulation of subcortical and deep cortical activity in the primate brain using transcranial focused ultrasound stimulation. *Neuron* 101:1009–1116. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2019.04.033>
- García-Cabezas MÁ, Rico B, Sánchez-González MÁ, Cavada C (2007) Distribution of the dopamine innervation in the macaque and human thalamus. *Neuroimage* 34:965–984. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2006.07.032>
- García-Cabezas MÁ, Hacker JL, Zikopoulos B (2022) Homology of neocortical areas in rats and primates based on cortical type analysis: an update of the Hypothesis on the Dual Origin of the Neocortex. *Brain Struct Funct*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-022-02548-0>
- García-Cabezas M, Pérez-Santos I, Cavada C (2023) Mapping the primate thalamus: historical perspective and modern approaches for defining nuclei. *Brain Struct Funct*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-022-02598-4>
- Grandjean J, Desrosiers-Gregoire G, Anckaerts C, Angeles-Valdez D, Ayad F, Barrière DA, Blockx I, Bortel A, Broadwater M, Cardoso BM, Célestine M, Chavez-Negrete JE, Choi S, Christiaen E, Clavijo P, Colon-Perez L, Cramer S, Daniele T, Dempsey E, Diao Y, Doelemeyer A, Dopfel D, Dvořáková L, Falfán-Melgoza C, Fernandes FF, Fowler CF, Fuentes-Ibañez A, Garin CM, Gelderman E, Golden CEM, Guo CCG, Henckens MJAG, Hennessy LA, Herman P, Hofwijks N, Horien C, Ionescu TM, Jones J, Kaesser J, Kim E, Lambers H, Lazari A, Lee S-H, Lillywhite A, Liu Y, Liu YY, López-Castro A, López-Gil X, Ma Z, MacNicol E, Madularu D, Mandino F, Marciano S, McAuslan MJ, McCunn P, McIntosh A, Meng X, Meyer-Baele L, Missault S, Moro F, Naessens DMP, Nava-Gomez LJ, Nonaka H, Ortiz JJ, Paasonen J, Peeters LM, Pereira M, Perez PD, Pompilus M, Prior M, Rakhmatullin R, Reimann HM, Reinwald J, Del Rio RT, Rivera-Olvera A, Ruiz-Pérez D, Russo G, Rutten TJ, Ryoke R, Sack M, Salvan P, Sangannahalli BG, Schroeter A, Seewoo BJ, Selingue E, Seuwen A, Shi B, Sirmipilatz N, Smith JAB, Smith C, Sobczak F, Stenroos PJ, Straathof M, Strobel S, Sumiyoshi A, Takahashi K, Torres-García ME, Tudela R, van den Berg M, van der Marel K, van Hout ATB, Vertullo R, Vidal B, Vrooman RM, Wang VX, Wank I, Watson DJG, Yin T, Zhang Y, Zurbrugg S, Achard S, Alcauter S, Auer DP, Barbier EL, Baudewig J, Beckmann CF, Beckmann N, Becq GJPC, Blezer ELA, Bolbos R, Boretius S, Bouvard S, Budinger E, Buxbaum JD, Cash D, Chapman V, Chuang K-H, Ciobanu L, Coolen BF, Dalley JW, Dhenain M, Dijkhuizen RM, Esteban O, Faber C, Febo M, Feindel KW, Forloni G, Fouquet J, Garza-Villarreal EA, Gass N, Glennon JC, Gozzi A, Gröhn O, Harkin A, Heerschap A, Helluy X, Herfert K, Heuser A, Homberg JR, Houwing DJ, Hyder F, Ielacqua GD, Jelescu IO, Johansen-Berg H, Kaneko G, Kawashima R, Keilholz SD, Keliris GA, Kelly C, Kerskens C, Khokhar JY, Kind PC, Langlois J-B, Lerch JP, López-Hidalgo MA, Manahan-Vaughan D, Marchand F, Mars RB, Marsella G, Micotti E, Muñoz-Moreno E, Near J, Nienendorf T, Otte WM, Pais-Roldán P, Pan W-J, Prado-Alcalá RA, Quirarte GL, Rodger J, Rosenow T, Sampaio-Baptista C, Sartorius A, Sawiak SJ, Scheenen TWJ, Shemesh N, Shih Y-YI, Shmuel A, Soria G, Stoop R, Thompson GJ, Till SM, Todd N, Van Der Linden A, van der Toorn A, van Tilborg GAF, Vanhove C, Veltien A, Verhoye M, Wachsmuth L, Weber-Fahr W, Wenk P, Yu X, Zerbi V, Zhang N, Zhang BB, Zimmer L, Devenyi GA, Chakravarty MM, Hess A (2023) A consensus protocol for functional connectivity analysis in the rat brain. *Nat Neurosci* 26:673–681. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-023-01286-8>
- He C, Guan X, Zhang W, Li J, Liu C, Wei H, Xu X, Zhang Y (2022) Quantitative susceptibility atlas construction in Montreal Neurological Institute space: towards histological-consistent iron-rich deep brain nucleus subregion identification. *Brain Struct Funct*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-022-02547-1>
- Huntenburg JM, Bazin P-L, Margulies DS (2018) Large-scale gradients in human cortical organization. *Trends Cogn Sci* 22:21–31. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2017.11.002>
- Ikeda T, Autio JA, Kawasaki A, Takeda C, Ose T, Takada M, Van Essen DC, Glasser MF, Hayashi T (2022) Cortical adaptation of the night monkey to a nocturnal niche environment: a comparative non-invasive T1w/T2w myelin study. *Brain Struct Funct*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-022-02591-x>
- Langkammer C, Krebs N, Goessler W, Scheurer E, Ebner F, Yen K, Fazekas F, Ropele S (2010) Quantitative MR imaging of brain iron: a postmortem validation study. *Radiology* 257:455–462. <https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.10100495>
- Liu X, Eickhoff SB, Caspers S, Wu J, Genon S, Hoffstaedter F, Mars RB, Sommer IE, Eickhoff CR, Chen J, Jardi R, Reetz K, Dogan I, Aleman A, Kogler L, Gruber O, Caspers J, Mathys C, Patil KR (2021) Functional parcellation of human and macaque striatum reveals human-specific connectivity in the dorsal caudate. *Neuroimage*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2021.118006>

- Mars RB, Jbabdi S, Rushworth MFS (2021) A Common space approach to comparative neuroscience. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 44:69–86. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-neuro-100220-025942>
- Milham MP, Ai L, Koo B, Xu T, Amiez C, Balezeau F, Baxter MG, Blezer ELA, Brochier T, Chen A, Croxson PL, Damatac CG, Dehaene S, Everling S, Fair DA, Fleysher L, Freiwald W, Froud-ist-Walsh S, Griffiths TD, Guedj C, Hadj-Bouziane F, Ben Hamed S, Harel N, Hiba B, Jarraya B, Jung B, Kastner S, Klink PC, Kwok SC, Laland KN, Leopold DA, Lindenfors P, Mars RB, Menon RS, Messinger A, Meunier M, Mok K, Morrison JH, Nacef J, Nagy J, Rios MO, Petkov CI, Pinsk M, Poirier C, Procyk E, Rajimehr R, Reader SM, Roelfsema PR, Rudko DA, Rushworth MFS, Russ BE, Sallet J, Schmid MC, Schwiedrzik CM, Seidlitz J, Sein J, Shmuel A, Sullivan EL, Ungerleider L, Thiele A, Todorov OS, Tsao D, Wang Z, Wilson CRE, Yacoub E, Ye FQ, Zarco W, Zhou Y, Margulies DS, Schroeder CE (2018) An open resource for non-human primate imaging. *Neuron* 100:61–74.e2. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2018.08.039>
- Murray EA, Wise SP (2017) The evolution of memory systems. Oxford University Press, Oxford, *Ancestors anatomy and adaptations*
- Orset T, Royo J, Santin MD, Pouget P, Thiebaut de Schotten M (2023) A new open, high-resolution, multishell, diffusion-weighted imaging dataset of the living squirrel monkey. *Sci Data* 10:224. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-023-02126-x>
- Passingham RE, Lau H (2022) Do we understand the prefrontal cortex? *Brain Struct Funct*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-022-02587-7>
- Pérez-Santos I, Palomero-Gallagher N, Zilles K, Cavada C (2021) Distribution of the noradrenaline innervation and adrenoceptors in the macaque monkey thalamus. *Cereb Cortex* 31:4115–4139. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhab073>
- Pérez-Santos I, García-Cabezas MÁ, Cavada C (2023) Mapping the primate thalamus: systematic approach to analyze the distribution of subcortical neuromodulatory afferents. *Brain Struct Funct*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-023-02619-w>
- Rico B, Cavada C (1998) A population of cholinergic neurons is present in the macaque monkey thalamus. *Eur J Neurosci* 10:2346–2352. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1460-9568.1998.00246.x>
- Rogers Flattery CN, Abdulla M, Barton SA, Michlich JM, Trut LN, Kukekova AV, Hecht EE (2023) The brain of the silver fox (*Vulpes vulpes*): a neuroanatomical reference of cell-stained histological and MRI images. *Brain Struct Funct*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-023-02648-5>
- Sanides F (1962) Architectonics of the human frontal lobe of the brain. With a demonstration of the principles of its formation as a reflection of phylogenetic differentiation of the cerebral cortex. *Monogr Gesamtgeb Neurol Psychiatr* 98:1–201
- Sanides F (1970) Functional architecture of motor and sensory. In: Noback CR, Montagna W (eds) *The Primate Brain: Advances in Primatology*. Appleton-Century-Crofts Educational Division/Meredith Corporation, New York
- Suarez LE, Yovel Y, van den Heuvel MP, Sporns O, Assaf Y, Lajoie G, Misic B (2022) A connectomics-based taxonomy of mammals. *eLife*. <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.78635>
- Tang, W., Jbabdi, S., Zhu, Z., Cottaar, M., Grisot, G., Lehman, J.F., Yendiki, A., Haber, S N., (2019) A connectional hub in the rostral anterior cingulate cortex links areas of emotion and cognitive control. *eLife* <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.43761>
- Tendler, B.C., Hanayik, T., Ansorge, O., Bangerter-Christensen, S., Berns, G.S., Bertelsen, M.F., Bryant, K.L., Foxley, S., van den Heuvel, M.P., Howard, A.F., Huszar, I.N., Khrapitchev, A.A., Leonte, A., Manger, P.R., Menke, R.A., Mollink, J., Mortimer, D., Pallegage-Gamarallage, M., Roumazeilles, L., Sallet, J., Scholtens, L.H., Scott, C., Smart, A., Turner, M.R., Wang, C., Jbabdi, S., Mars, R.B., Miller, K.L., (2022) The Digital Brain Bank an open access platform for post-mortem imaging datasets. *eLife* <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.73153>
- van den Heuvel MP, Scholtens LH, de Lange SC, Pijnenburg R, Cahn W, van Haren NEM, Sommer IE, Bozzali M, Koch K, Boks MP, Repple J, Pievani M, Li L, Preuss TM, Rilling JK (2019) Evolutionary modifications in human brain connectivity associated with schizophrenia. *Brain* 142:3991–4002. <https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awz330>

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.